ATTACHMENT_C

Page___1___ of___ / 6

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2005-0341 Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

Project Title	Use of Building in Industrial Zoned area as a Community Center
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale
	PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Jamie McLeod
Phone Number	408-730-7429
Project Location	1287 Lawrence Station Road
Project Sponsor's Name	Nasrollah Badiee
Address	1604 Babero Ave.
	San Jose, CA 95118
Zoning	MS
General Plan	Industrial
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

Description of the Project: This project proposes the use of an existing building in an industrial zone as a community center and office space. The community center will use approximately onethird of the total 48,422 sq. ft. floor area for senior services, family counseling, vocational training, and humanitarian services. The remaining two-thirds will be leased as research and development (R&D) office space.

Surrounding Uses and Setting: The property is bordered to the north by State Highway 237 (and then Baylands Park), to the west by Lawrence Expressway (and then a hotel), to the south by an industrial building, and to the east across Lawrence Station Road by industrial R&D office uses and a fire station.

ATTACHMENT_C

Environmental Checklist Form

age 2 of 1/4 Project Number: 2005-0341
Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road
Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 6. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within
 the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal
 standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on
 the earlier analysis.
- Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

ATTACHMENT	C
------------	---

Environmental Checklist Form Page

Project Number: 2005-0341

Project Number: 2005-0341

Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

		Project A	ddress:	1287 Lawrence Station Applicant: Nasrollah I		
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the						
following pages.						
☐ Aesthetics		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Public Services		
Agricultural Resources		Hydrology/Water		Recreation		
☐ Air Quality		Quality Land Use/Planning		Transportation/Tr	affic_	
☐ Biological Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities/Service		
☐ Cultural Resources		Noise		Systems Mandatory Findir	gs of	
☐ Geology/Soils		Population/Housing		Significance		
DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency) On the basis of this initial evaluation: I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be						
a significant effect in this case because project proponent. A MITIGATED NEC	e revisio	ns in the project have been made	by or ag	greed to by the		
I find that the proposed project MAY has ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT			, and ar	ר		
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potential significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect (1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIROMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.						
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.						
			ſ	May 4, 2005		
Signature]	Date		
Jamie McLeod				City of Sunnyvale		
Printed Name			F	For (Lead Agency)		

City of Sunnyvale, Community Development Department PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94087

ATTACHMENT_____

Environmental Checklist Form Page 4 of 1φ Project Number: 2005-0341
Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

		Potentially Significant	pact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
		Pote) <u>=</u>	Sig Mitti	Les Sign	ž	й
1.	AESTHETICS. Would the project:						
	Have a substantial adverse effect of scenic vista?	on a				\boxtimes	2, 94
	 Substantially damage scenic resou including, but not limited to trees, no outcroppings, and historic buildings a state scenic highway? 	ock				\boxtimes	2, 94
	 Substantially degrade the existing character or quality of the site and surroundings? 					\boxtimes	2, 94, 101
	 d. Create a new source of substantial glare which would adversely affect nighttime views in the area? 					\boxtimes	2, 94
2.	AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or a pollution control district may be relied u make the following determinations. Wo project:	pon to					
	Conflict with or obstruct implement the applicable air quality plan?	ation of				\boxtimes	3, 94, 100, 111
	 Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existi projected air quality violation. 	ng or					3, 94, 100, 111
	c. Result in a cumulatively consideral increase of any criteria pollutant fo the project region is non-attainmen an applicable federal or state ambi quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	r which it under ent air					3, 96, 97, 100, 111
	d. Expose sensitive receptors to subspollutant concentrations?	tantial				\boxtimes	62. 63. 111. 112
	Create objectionable odors affectir substantial number of people?	ng a					111. 112
3.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:						
	Have a substantial adverse effect, directly or through habitat modifica any species identified as a candida sensitive, or special status species or regional plans, policies, or regul or by the California Department of Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Se	tions, on late, in local ations, Fish and				\boxtimes	2, 94, 111, 112, 109

ATTACHMENT C

Environmental Checklist Form Page	5 of 10 Project	Project Number: 2005-0341 t Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road
-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
			Pote Sign Im	Less Sig.	Less	Š	S _S
	b.	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	C.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
	f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				\boxtimes	41,94, 111, 112
4.	CU	LTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
	a.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?				\boxtimes	10, 42, 60, 61, 94, 111
	b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5					10, 42, 94
	C.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?					10, 42, 94, 111
	d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes	2, 111, 112
5.		ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the ject:			*		
	а.	Physically divide an established community?					2, 11, 12, 21, 28

ATTACHMENT C

Environmental Checklist Form Page 6 of 16 Project Number: 2005-0341 Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

*****			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	b.	Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					See disc.
	C.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?					2, 41, 94, 111
6.	MII	NERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
	a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					2, 94
	b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				\boxtimes	2, 94
7.	NO	ISE. Would the project result in:					
	a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				\boxtimes	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				\boxtimes	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	C.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				\boxtimes	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	d.	A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			\boxtimes		See disc.
8.		PULATION AND HOUSING. Would the					
	a.	ject: Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				\boxtimes	2, 94

ATTACHMENT_	1

Environmental Checklist Form Page 7 of 16 Project Number: 2005-0341 Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
 Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 					2, 11, 111, 112
 Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 				\boxtimes	2, 11, 111, 112
9. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Schools?					2, 111, 112
b. Police protection?				\boxtimes	26, 65, 66, 103, 104
c. Fire protection?				\boxtimes	26, 65, 66, 103, 104
d. Parks?					2, 111, 112
e. Other services?					See disc.
10. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					2, 10, 26, 42, 59, 60, 61, 111, 112

ATTACHMENT_C

Environmental Checklist Form Page S of Project Number: 2005-0341
Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
indiv cons cons effec view past proje	s the project have impacts that are idually limited, but cumulatively iderable? ("Cumulatively iderable" means that the incremental its of a project are considerable when ed in connection with the effects of the projects, the effects of other current locts, and the effects of probable future locts)?				\boxtimes	1, 2, 111, 112
effec effec	s the project have environmental its which will cause substantial adverse its on human beings, either directly or ectly?					111, 112
11. GEOLOG	Y AND SOILS. Would the project:					
subs	ose people or structures to potential tantial adverse effects, including the of loss, injury or death involving:					See Disc.
Í	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				\boxtimes	UBC, UPC, UMC, NEC
ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?					a
	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				\boxtimes	
iv)	Landslides?					и
	ult in substantial soil erosion or the loss osoil?					μ
unsta as a resul	cated on a geologic unit or soil that is able, or that would become unstable result of the project, and potentially t in on- or off-site landslide, lateral ading, subsidence, liquefaction or pse?				\boxtimes	и

ATT	ACH	ME	NT	C

Environmental Checklist Form Page 0 of 6 Project Number: 2005-0341 Project Address: 1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	d.	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				\boxtimes	и
	е.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				\boxtimes	и
12.		ILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would project:					
	a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 112
	b.	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes	2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
	C.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				\boxtimes	2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
	d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				\boxtimes	2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
	e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
	f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				\boxtimes	2, 22, 90, 111, 112
	g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?				\boxtimes	2, 22, 90, 111, 112

ATTACHMENT_	C

Environmental Checklist Form Page 10 of 16 Project Number: 2005-0341
Project Address: 1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				,	
	Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?					See Disc.
	 Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? 					2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
	c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					2, 111, 112, 113
	d. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?					2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
	e. Result in inadequate emergency access?			\boxtimes		See Disc.
	f. Result in inadequate parking capacity?			\boxtimes		See Disc.
-	g. Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				\boxtimes	2, 12, 81, 111, 112
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project?					
	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					See Disc.
	b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					See Disc.
	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC

	ATTACHM	ENT_C	
Environmental Checklist Form	Page II	of_16 ,Project Address	Project Number: 2005-034 s:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badies

	,		r			
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
g.	Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
h.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC
15. RI	ECREATION\				****	
a.	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					2, 18, 111, 112
b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				\boxtimes	2, 18, 111, 112
wh sig ma Ev pro Co as	eRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining nether impacts to agricultural resources are sprificant environmental effects, lead agencies ay refer to the California Agricultural Land raluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) epared by the California Department of onservation as an optional model to use in sessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. ould the project:					

Environmental Checklist Form Page 12 of 16 Project Number: 2005-0341
Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road
Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

		>=	e - e	c #	#	
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nonagricultural use?					94
ŀ	b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				\boxtimes	94
(c. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use				\boxtimes	94
	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:					
ě	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
ŀ	b. Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
	c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
(d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
•	e. Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112

ATTA	СНМ	EN	r.C.

ATTACHMENT					
Environmental Checklist Form	Page12	of_	16 Project	Project Number: 2005-0341 Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee	

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
f.	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
g.	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
h.	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
i.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112

Discussion:

- **5.b. LAND USE AND PLANNING:** The proposed use for this site is not in accordance with the zoning for this area. The establishment of a community center introduces more sensitive receptors to the area, including children and elderly persons who may have more fragile immune systems and be more sensitive to the types of activities typically allowed in an industrial zoned area. While the current conditions in the neighboring vicinity do not appear to put the proposed users at risk, their presence may restrict the allowable uses on the surrounding sites, thus reducing the utility of the industrially zoned area in the vicinity of the community center. While not an environmental impact, the proposed use, if approved, should include the requirement of establishing an emergency escape plan from the site in the case of release of toxic materials in the area.
- **7.d. NOISE:** The proposed use for this site may increase ambient noise with the presence of children and others using the center for social activities. However, this noise is likely to be minimal as the recreational open space area is located along the west side of the property, further away from neighboring businesses.
- **9.e. PUBLIC SERVICES:** The presence of a more sensitive population (elderly persons and children) may result in additional utilization of public services such as ambulance services.

4	of	Project	Project Number: 2005-0341 Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee
			HMENT C

- **11.a. GEOLOGY AND SOILS:** Given that Sunnyvale is located in an earthquake-prone area, the negative responses to this question are based on not increasing the existing relative risk.
- 13.a. TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC: The proposed use would increase traffic over the existing levels given the current vacancy. However, the anticipated levels are not expected to exceed the design levels for this site. In addition, the site is located very close to two major roadways, minimizing the overall impact of increased traffic in this area.
- **13.e. TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC:** While the site did not initially provide for sufficient emergency access for fire vehicles to the northwest corner of the site, the applicant has made arrangements with the City Public Safety Department to address this concern and allow for sufficient access.
- **13.f. TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC:** Staff is currently working with the applicant to clarify which uses will be restricted to which parts of the subject site, which will enable a final determination to be made regarding parking requirements. As the applicant has proposed to meet the City's requirements, this issue will be addressed through restriction to the locations and times of use on the site.
- 14.a&b. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: While the proposed project would not introduce hazardous chemicals to the site, it may bring a sensitive population into closer proximity to existing or potential future hazardous chemicals that may be used in the vicinity for industrial uses. As stated in 5.b, the proposed use, if approved, should include the requirement of establishing an emergency escape plan from the site in the case of release of toxic materials in the area. Given the site's access to two major roadways and the relative buffering of the site between major thoroughfares, occupants of the site will have relatively easy access to escapes routes in the case of an emergency release.

Jamie McLeod	05/04/2005
Completed By	Date

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- Мар
- Air Quality Sub-Element
- Community Design Sub-Element
- Community Participation Sub-Element
- Cultural Arts Sub-Element 6
- Executive Summary
- Fire Services Sub-Élement
- Fiscal Sub-Element
- Heritage Preservation Sub-Element 10.
- 11. Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-Element
- 12. Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 13. Law Enforcement Sub-Element
- Legislative Management Sub-Element
- 15. Library Sub-Element
- Noise Šub-Element 16.
- 17.
- Open Space Sub-Element. Recreation Sub-Element 18.
- Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element
- 21. Socio-Economic Sub-Element
- Solid Waste Management Sub-Element Support Services Sub-Element 22
- 23.
- 24. Surface Run-off Sub-Element
- Water Resources Sub-Element
- City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code: 26
- 27 Chapter 10
- 28. Zoning Map
- 29. Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District
- 31 Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts
- Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts
 Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts 32 33.
- Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts 34.
- 35. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- 36. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan
- 37. Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading
- Chapter 19.56. Solar Access Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing 38.
- 39.
- Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home 40. Parks to Other Uses
- 41. Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation
- 42. Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation Specific Plans

- El Camino Real Precise Plan 43.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit 44.
- Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan 45.
- 46. 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan 47. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan

Environmental Impact Reports

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report 48.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental 49. Impact Report
- 50. Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact
 - Study (supplemental)
- 51. Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement

- Center Environmental Impact Report (City of
- 52. Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact 53. Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental 54. Impact Report

Maps

- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps 55.
- Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA) 56.
- Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- 58. Utility Maps (50 scale)

Lists/Inventories

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List 59
- Heritage Landmark Designation List 60.
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory 61.
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- 63. List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale

Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- Subdivision Map Act
- Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per SMC adoption
- National Fire Code (National Fire Protection 66.
- Association)
 Title 19 California Administrative Code 67.
- 68. California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill)
- California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill) 69.
- Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III 70.

Transportation

- California Department of Transportation Highway 71. Design Manual
- 72. California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- 73. California Department of Transportation Standard Plan
- California Department of Transportation 74. Standard Specification
- 75. Institute of Transportation Engineers - Trip Generation
- Institute of Transportation Engineers 76. Transportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway 77. Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- 78. California Vehicle Code
- Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. 79. Pegnataro
- 80. Santa Clara County Congestion Management
- Program and Technical Guidelines Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short 81.

ATTACHMENT C

16 Environmental Checklist Form Page_ Project Number: 2005-0341 Project Address:1287 Lawrence Station Road Applicant: Nasrollah Badiee

- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- Bicycle Plan 85

Public Works

- Standard Specifications and Details of the
- Department of Public Works Storm Drain Master Plan
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan 88.
- Water Master Plan 89.
- 90. Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports Engineering Division Project Files Subdivision and Parcel Map Files 91.
- 92.
- 93.

Miscellaneous

- Field Inspection 94.
- 95. Environmental Information Form
- Annual Summary of Containment Excesses (BAAQMD) 96.
- Current Air Quality Data 97.
- Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

- 99. Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
- Population Projections Bay Area Clean Air Plan 100.
- 101. City-wide Design Guidelines102. Industrial Design Guidelines

- Building Safety

 103. Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)

 104. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)

 105. Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Building Code)
- Plumbing Code)
- 106. Uniform Mechanical Code, (including the California Mechanical Code)
- 107. National Electrical Code (Including California Electrical Code)
- 108. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

- Additional References
 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists
 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis

- 111. Project Description
 112. Project Development Plans
- 113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration